#### Message

From: Anderson, Milo [anderson.milo@epa.gov]

**Sent**: 1/4/2018 4:53:19 PM

**To**: Wilson, Jennifer [wilson.jenniferA@epa.gov]

**Subject**: Groundwater monitoring sites from contractor all incorrect

Jenny,

### Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

From: Brent Ritchie [mailto:JRitchie@manniksmithgroup.com]

Sent: Thursday, January 04, 2018 10:35 AM

To: Kimble, Jeffrey <kimble.jeffrey@epa.gov>; Donnelly, Peggy <Donnelly.Peggy@epa.gov>; Anderson, Milo

<anderson.milo@epa.gov>

**COLCAGOROLCIDI.LUIGI.AIA** 

Subject: RE: Wolverine Worldwide - field sampling info and preliminary comparison

### Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

From: Anderson, Milo <anderson.milo@epa.gov> Sent: Thursday, January 4, 2018 11:29:46 AM

To: Kimble, Jeffrey; Donnelly, Peggy

Cc: Brent Ritchie

Subject: RE: Wolverine Worldwide - field sampling info and preliminary comparison

## Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

#### Milo

From: Kimble, Jeffrey

Sent: Thursday, January 04, 2018 10:08 AM

To: Anderson, Milo <anderson.milo@epa.gov>; Donnelly, Peggy <a>Donnelly.Peggy@epa.gov></a>>

Cc: Brent Ritchie < JRitchie@manniksmithgroup.com>

**Subject:** RE: Wolverine Worldwide - field sampling info and preliminary comparison

See below

From: Anderson, Milo

Sent: Thursday, January 04, 2018 10:55 AM

**To:** Kimble, Jeffrey <a href="mailto:kimble.jeffrey@epa.gov">kimble, Jeffrey@epa.gov</a>>; Donnelly, Peggy <a href="mailto:Nonnelly.Peggy@epa.gov">Nonnelly.Peggy@epa.gov</a>>> **Subject:** RE: Wolverine Worldwide - field sampling info and preliminary comparison

## Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

# Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

I hope to hear back from you soon.

Milo 6-6096 From: Kimble, Jeffrey

Sent: Thursday, January 04, 2018 8:46 AM

To: Harris, Kimberly <a href="mailto:kimberly@epa.gov">harris.kimberly@epa.gov</a>; Wilson, Jennifer <a href="mailto:wilson.jenniferA@epa.gov">wilson.jenniferA@epa.gov</a>

Cc: Mankowski, Matthew <mankowski.matthew@epa.gov>; Cooper, Brian <cooper.brian@epa.gov>; Anderson, Milo <anderson.milo@epa.gov>; Clark, Jacqueline <clark.jacqueline@epa.gov>; Williams, Thomas <williams.tom@epa.gov>; Donnelly, Peggy <a href="mailto:Peggy@epa.gov">Peggy@epa.gov</a>; El-Zein, Jason <el-zein.jason@epa.gov>; Schupp, George <a href="mailto:Schupp.george@epa.gov">Schupp.george@epa.gov</a>; Zintek, Lawrence <a href="mailto:zintek.lawrence@epa.gov">Zintek.lawrence@epa.gov</a>; Johnson, Mark <a href="mailto:johnson.mark@epa.gov">johnson.mark@epa.gov</a>>

**Subject:** Wolverine Worldwide - field sampling info and preliminary comparison

Attached are 2 documents. The first is a compilation of the information collected for all sampling points.

The second is a comparison of preliminary CRL data with historic Wolverine data from monitoring wells on House Street and the former tanner property. HSL = House Street Landfill and FWT = Former Wolverine Tannery. MW = monitoring well (one is TMW because that is how wolverine labeled it previously). **THIS IS A DRAFT OF PRELIMINARY DATA! FOR FYI ONLY.** 

See the write up below for the description of how the table is broken out, including which samples are being held at CRL.

Larry/George, the red highlighted compounds in the comparison table are the ones TetraTech were unsure if they matched up with CRL. It appears Wolverines data has 23 compounds analyzed for while your has 24.

Jennifer, is the 23 compounds consistent with what you have reviewed in the drinking water sample results?

From: Brent Ritchie [mailto:JRitchie@manniksmithgroup.com]

Sent: Wednesday, January 03, 2018 4:58 PM To: Kimble, Jeffrey <kimble.jeffrey@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Enforcement confidential and deliberative. Do not release for FOIAs or discoveries.: Creating a map of the

Sampling EPA Did in the Field for Wolverine

Jeff,

Attached is the data summary from the iPADs which has all the information requested. I cleaned it up a little. The tab with the monitoring summary is information on each of the houses (or monitoring wells) with a column for comments which describes all of the information about filters and other pertinent info related to each location. The tab with the sampling information breaks down all of the samples collected. Unless it is stated explicitly in the comments as CRL SAMPLE ONLY, the samples all went to Eurofins with the exception of any comments which state CRL sample also collected, those are the samples that went to CRL but are on hold. The GPS is correct for the homes and the surface water samples, but the monitoring wells are not correct. I do not have survey data from GZA on the monitoring wells but that is something we should be able to get from them, otherwise the figures in the GZA reports have monitoring well locations for House Street and the Former Tannery. If you want I can send those as well.

Feel free to have anyone call me with ?s or call me yourself as well.

#### Thanks

Brent Ritchie, MS
Senior Project Geologist
The Mannik & Smith Group, Inc.
810-280-2801 (Cell)
www.manniksmithgroup.com

### **CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE**

The information contained in this communication and its attachment(s) is intended only for the use of the individual to whom it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential or exempt from disclosure. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify <a href="maintainto:postmaster@manniksmithgroup.com">postmaster@manniksmithgroup.com</a> and delete the communication without retaining any copies. Thank you.